Thakur

[Spl/MAT/F-5/E]

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 1381 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : 20 APR 2016

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1121 OF 2015.
(Sub :- Suspension)

1. Shri Jagannath D. Kodulkar,
R/at. Bhendi Bazar Police Officer Quarter, A-wing, 2nd Floor, 322/324 S. V.P. Road, Bhendi Bazar, Opp. Fire Brigade, Bombay-400 009.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

1 The State of Maharashtra, Through Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai.

2 The Commissioner of Police, Office of Commissioner of Police, Mumbai, S.B.S. Marg, Mumbai.

...RESPONDENT/S

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the ***13th*** day *of* ***April, 2016*** *has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri S.S. Dere, Advocate for the Applicant.
Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE SHRI RAJIV AGARWAL, VICE-CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **13.04.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Mousal 18/4/2016

Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.

E:\Sachin\Judical Order\ORDER-2016\April-16\18.04.2016\O.A. No. 1121 of 15-13.04.16.doc

Coram, or ers	Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.04.2016.

O.A.NO.1121 OF 2015

J.D. Kodulkar ....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2 Though the matter was first heard on 06.01.2016 and more than three months have lapsed, no reply has yet been filed by the Respondents. Applicant is seeking very simple relief that his suspension order dated 19.05.2015 may be reviewed by the appropriate committee and he may be taken back in service.

3. Learned P.O. states that the affidavit-in-reply will be filed shortly, however, she is not able to tell as to why no reply has been filed on the very simple relief sought by the Applicant. In any case, Respondents cannot refuse to take the ~~review~~ plea that the suspension order will not be reviewed.

4. Considering these facts this O.A. is disposed off with directions of Respondents to place the case of the Applicant before appropriate suspension, committee to review suspension order dated 19.05.2016, within a period of two months from the date of this order and the result of this review should be communicated to the Applicant within one week thereafter. Hamdast. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice- Chairman

prk

TRUE COPY

18/4/2016

Asstt. Registrar / Research Officers
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Mumbai